

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,230	09/25/2003	Thomas Gauweiler	11884/401202	8715
53000 KENYON & K	7590 11/23/2007	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE	ET N.W.		LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		_	_	_
_	•	_	-	_
٠.				
	-	•	-	•
		3	×	
	-	•	•	
	,	-		

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/672,230	GAUWEILER, THOMAS		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Etienne P. LeRoux	2161		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICED FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWNS OF THE MAILING THE M	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 N</u>	ovember 2007.			
′=		s action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3,7-18,20 and 22-26 is/are pending	in the application.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	Claim(s) 1-3,7-18,20 and 22-26 is/are rejected	1.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
· ·	The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2003</u> is/s		ted to by the Examiner.		
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) D Notic 3) D Infor	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/672,230

Art Unit: 2161

(

Claim Status

Claims 1-3, 7-18, 20 and 22-26 are pending, claims 4-6, 19 and 21 have been cancelled. Claims 1-3, 7-18, 20 and 22-26 are rejected as detailed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1 recites "mapping the binary structure by the computer application from the memory if the file has not been updated." Furthermore, claim 1 recites "storing the file on the mobile device" and also recites "recording the binary structure in a memory of the mobile device." The specification does not describe what comprises "storing the file" and what comprises recording the binary structure of the file in a memory of the mobile device.

Claim 1 recites "transforming the file into a binary structure at an initial run of a computer application running on the mobile device." Furthermore, claim 1 recites "determining whether the file has been updated upon a startup of the computer application." The specification

Application/Control Number: 10/672,230 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

does not describe what comprises "an initial run of a computer application" and what comprises "a startup of the computer application."

Claim 1 recites "storing the file on the mobile device" and furthermore recites "recording the binary structure in a memory of the mobile device." The specification does not describe in a clear and concise manner what difference, if any, exists between the "file" and the "binary structure."

Claim 1 recites "transforming the file into a binary structure at an initial run of a computer application running on the mobile device." The specification does not describe in a clear and concise manner what structure is used to;

- (1) create the file in a design environment
- (2) communicate the file to a mobile device,
- (3) store the file on a mobile device
- (4) transforming the currently loaded file

For purposes of this Office action, it is assumed that the file comprises a binary structure for the above method steps. Specifically, an XML file operates in a digital (binary) environment.

Claim 1 recites "mapping a binary structure transformed from the currently loaded file by the computer application into the memory if the file has not been updated." The specification does not include a clear and concise written description of:

- (1) mapping a binary structure
- (2) transforming the currently loaded file
- (3) if the file has not been updated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the following:

- (1) creating in a design environment a file
- (2) communicating the file to a mobile device
- (3) storing the file on the mobile device
- (4) transforming the file into a binary structure
- (5) recording the binary structure in a memory
- (6) determining whether the file has been updated upon a startup
- (7) file currently loaded on the mobile device
- (8) transform[ing] the currently loaded file

The metes and bounds of the present invention cannot be determined because it is unclear how many file(s) applicant is claiming. For purposes of this Office action, examine4r assumes that there is <u>no</u> (emphasis added) between above files.

Claim 1 recites "mapping a binary structure transformed from the currently loaded file by the computer into the memory." The scope of the invention cannot be determined because it is unclear what difference, if any, exists between "currently loaded file" and "mapping the binary

Page 5

Art Unit: 2161

structure into memory." For purposes of this Office action, it is assumed that no difference exists.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7-18, 20 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pub No US 2002/0188761 (Chikirivao et al), hereafter Chikirivao in view of Pub No US 2004/0003013 (Coulthard et al), hereafter Coulthard, as best examiner is able to ascertain.

Claims 1, 14, 15, 20 and 26:

Chikirivao discloses:

- (a) creating in a design environment a file that relates at least one business object [business component instantiation and execution framework is created, paragraph 11, particular industry XML subset, paragraph 23]
- (b) communicating the file to a mobile device [XML tagged document 110, Fig 1, PDA, paragraph 21]
- (c) storing the file on the mobile device [XML documents stored on the PDA, paragraph 22]

(d) transforming the file into a binary structure at an initial run of a computer application running on the mobile device, the binary structure adapted to be read by the computer application [directly synchronize document information from the PDA over the communication network 115 with server 125, paragraph 22]

Note:

Binary structure is interpreted per specification paragraph 11 which states that the file is transformed into a binary structure which can be read by the computer application.

- (e) recording the binary structure in a memory of the mobile device [transfer of information may be delayed, therefore, user stores the XML documents on the PDA for later retrieval, paragraph 22]
- (f) storing a date stamp and determining whether the file has been updated upon a startup of the computer application by comparing a date stamp of a file currently loaded on the mobile device

Chikirivao discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above but does not disclose storing a datestamp and a filesize in the memory with the binary structure, the datestamp and the filesize uniquely identifying the file corresponding to the binary structure, determining whethere the file has been updated upon a stratup of the computer application by comparing a datestamp and a filesize of a file currently loaded on the mobile device to the datestamp and the filesize. Coulthard discloses storing a datestamp and a filesize in the memory with the binary structure, the datestamp and the filesize uniquely identifying the file corresponding to the binary structure, determining whethere the file has been updated upon a stratup of the computer

application by comparing a datestamp and a filesize of a file currently loaded on the mobile device to the datestamp and the filesize [paragraphs 11 and 12]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Chikirivao to include the limitations as taught by Coulthard for thepurpose of determining when synchronizing is required, Chikirivao paragraph 22]

The combination of Chikirivao and Coulthard discloses mapping a binary structure transformed from the currently loaded file by the computer application into the memory if the file has not been updated [Chikirivao; synchronizing per paragraph 22]

Note:

Above limitation lacks antecedent basis in the specification, and thus above mapping to the prior art represents examiner's best interpretation of the claim language.

Claim 2:

The combination of Chikirivao and Coulthard discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore discloses wherein the method is adapted to create a database access system [Chikirivao, Fig 1, repository, 135]

Claims 3 and 18:

The combination of Chikirivao and Coulthard discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore discloses wherein the file is an XML file [Chikirivao ,paragraph 22]

Claims 7, 22 and 24:

The combination of Chikirivao and Coulthard discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore discloses if the at least one of the further datestamp and the further filesize of the further file stored on the mobile device correspond with the at least one of the datestamp and the filesize recorded with the binary structure, then the file and the further file are identical and the file has not been updated [Coulthard: paragraphs 11, 12, Chikirivao, paragraph 22], Reha col 4, lines 55-65]

Claims 8, 23 and 25:

The combination of Chikirivao and Coulthard discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore discloses if the at least one of the further datestamp and the further filesize of the further file stored on the mobile device do not correspond with the at least one of the datestamp and the filesize recorded with the binary structure, then the file and the further file are not identical and the file has not been updated [Coulthard: paragraphs 11, 12 and Chikirivao paragraph 22]

Claim 9:

The combination of Chikirivao and Coulthard discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore discloses repeating operations (d) and (e) for the further file [Coulthard: paragraph 12, Chikirivao paragraph 22]

Claim 10:

The combination of Chikirivao and Coulthard discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore discloses creating a new file to change the metadata; and repeating the operations of (b), (c), (d), and (e) for the new file [Coulthard, paragraph 12]

Claim 11:

The combination of Chikirivao and Coulthard discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore discloses wherein the metadata describes at least one of: actual data; at least one attribute for the at least one business object; at least one relationship between a plurality of business objects; and the at least one query [Coulthard, abstract, paragraphs 9, 11, 16].

Claim 12:

The combination of Chikirivao and Coulthard discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore discloses wherein the mobile device includes at least one of a laptop computer and a personal digital assistant [Chikirivao, paragraph 21]

Claims 13 and 16:

The combination of Chikirivao and Coulthard discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore discloses wherein the recording of the binary structure in the memory includes mapping the binary structure into an address space [Coulthard, paragraph 11]

Claim 17:

The combination of Chikirivao and Coulthard discloses the elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore discloses reading the binary structure at a second running of the computer application [Chikirivao, Fig 1]

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/1/2007 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Etienne P. LeRoux whose telephone number is (571) 272-4022. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on (571) 272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,230 Page 11

Art Unit: 2161

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Etienne LeRoux

11/20/2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Etienne Plhoux